

Hyper-Kamiokande Event Reconstruction Using Machine Learning Technique

10 November 2021 Joanna Gao



Content

- Introduction to Hyper-Kamiokande
- FiTQun, a traditional reconstruction tool
- PointNet, a machine learning technique
- PointNet for IWCD particle identification
- Data processing procedure
- Current result
- Future plans





Hyper-Kamiokande

- Water Cherekov detector, the successor of Super-Kamiokande
- Located in the mountain in mid-west Japan, and it's 68 m in diameter and 71 m in height (3/4 of the Big Ben)
- 8 times the fiducial volume of Super-K









Hyper-Kamiokande

- It plans to have 20,000 20-inch PMTs and ~2,000 mPMTs (to improve granularity) in the inner detector (ID) and ~6,000 3-inch PMTs in the outer detector (OD) veto region
- Simulation on the right has ~19,000 20-inch PMTs and ~5,000 mPMTs





Joanna Gao 8 Nov 2021 OWAN

FiTQun, a Traditional Reconstruction Tool



- Likelihood based, developed by Super-K and migrated to Hyper-K
- Good at energy, direction, vertex location reconstruction, also performs good e- and pi0 separation
- Not so good at e- and gamma separation as the signals from the two are quite similar
- Very slow, ~ min per event



PointNet, a Machine Learning Technique



- Unlike traditional Convolutional Neural Network (CNN), which unwraps a 3D data into a 2D image (e.g. ResNet), PointNet is a <u>3D</u> classification and segmentation tool that accept 'point cloud'
- Advantage:
 - retaining location, timing and charge relation between hit PMTs;
 - can apply to any detector size and geometry
 - Quick to use after training





PointNet for IWCD PID

- IWCD explored the efficiency of performing e/γ discrimination with fiTQun, ResNet and PointNet
- Figure of merit: AUC, [0, 1], the larger the better
- ResNet better than fiTQun
- PointNet better than ResNet when using both <u>charge</u> and <u>timing</u> information (default TTS curve in bottom right)





Reconstructing Events

- Currently looking at e- and mu- from neutrino CC interactions (simulated events)
- Performing classification using PointNet and compare the performance to FiTQun







Speed of processing:

- For 6M events, takes ~6 days from simulation output to PointNet results, ~0.01 minute per event
- FitQun processes straight from simulation output, few minutes per event





Analysis of the ML and FiTQun Results

- Currently the classification using FiTQun negative log likelihood value performs better than PointNet
- Not ideal but the difference is small
- Could be due to a simulation bug, currently processing new data without bug





Next Step



- Compare the performance of e-/gamma separation using PointNet and FiTQun
- Incorporating particle energy/direction and vertex location reconstruction into the PointNet analysis
- Ultimately move on to reconstructing high energy (TeV scale) events





Backup Slides



CAP Congress, 7th June, 2021

18

[2]

12

WatChMaL: cross-collaboration group formed to explore ML for WC

Common challenges for ML with WC detectors

- Cylindrical geometry
- High-resolution, sparse data

Many physics goals

WatChMaL

- Maximise precision of new detectors
- Reconstruct complex event topologies •
- Discriminate electron and gamma rings •
- Improving detector calibration & systematics

WatChMaL.org







PointNet

PointNet is designed to work on 'point clouds' rather than images

- Each hit PMT is a 'point' with time, charge & position, not fixed to grid
 - CNN learns translation-invariant functions on image
 - PointNet learns symmetric functions on point clouds
 - Symmetric: ordering of points cannot affect outcome
- Convolution-like operations act on each point's charge, time and position
- Information flows between points by learning global transformations applied to all points
- Can apply to any detector geometry







CAP Congress, 7th June, 2021

[2]

Machine Learning Dataset Split

The whole dataset is divided into three: 50% are training sets; 10% are validation sets; 40% are test sets.









* 1 ROOT file to 1 npz file

References



[1] Qi, C. et al. PointNet: Deep Learning on Point Sets for 3D Classification and Segmentation. 2017. Available from: <u>https://arxiv.org/pdf/1612.00593.pdf</u> [Date of Access: 21st July 2021]

[2] Prouse, N. *Machine Learning Techniques for Water Cherenkov Event Reconstruction*. [Presentation] CAP Congress Meeting. TRIUMF. Available from:

https://indico.cern.ch/event/985448/contributions/4295792/attachme nts/2259596/3834940/CAP_%20WatChMaL.pdf [Date of Access: 7th June 2021]

[3] Prouse, N. *PointNet e_γ performance with varying PMT timing* resolution. [Presentation] WatChMaL weekly meeting

