Cold Atoms and Climate Change Workshop

Mapping groundwater storage change from space: how good is GRACE monitoring?



Mohammad Shamsudduha ('Shams')

Associate Professor (Humanitarian Science) Institute for Risk and Disaster Reduction University College London

m.shamsudduha@ucl.ac.uk



King's College London

14:00-14:40 | 22nd March 2022



Earth Observation Satellites and GRACE Mission



IIIII

NASA Earth Observation Satellite Missions (October 2021)

"The first thing I tackled in the 1970s was the task of computing the orbits of satellites very accurately to support satellite altimetry missions. We reached the point that we could compute orbits that had an accuracy of a few centimeters" – **Professor Byron Tapley**

Tapley's computations soon hit a glitch that he couldn't fix! He observed that the <u>satellite orbits varied seasonally</u>.

- These subtle shifts in Earth's gravity occur primarily due to <u>movement of</u> <u>water mass</u> from one place to another on and under land, in the ocean, and in the atmosphere
- Only satellite could measure these subtle, tiny shifts accurately enough to map Earth's gravity in fine detail

The GRACE mission was led by Brian Tapley (PI) of the University of Texas at Austin (USA) and Frank Flechtner (Co-PI) of the German Research Centre for Geosciences (GFZ)







GRACE: Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment



RL05 Products (Updated: 2015-04-07)

04-07) CSR RL05 Mascon

Solutions New(Updated: 2017-10-24)

Mission Operations Status (Updated: 2016-06-03)

The GGM03 Models

Science Data Products

Level-3 Data Products



GRACE, twin satellites launched in March 2002, are making

detailed measurements of Earth's gravity field which will lead to

Orbiting Twins - The GRACE satellites

http://csr.utexas.edu/grace/



Current Orbit DataMission ElapsetHoursDaysHoursS 8 1 8C 8

~16 Years

leaving land



Gravity model from GRACE satellites



over ocean



approaching land



http://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/



https://www.youtube.com/embed/fKVPFyu_tHQ

Trends in Global Terrestrial Water Storage

Trends in total terrestrial water storage (TWS), including groundwater, soil water, lakes, snow, and ice, as observed by GRACE over 2002-2016



GRACE and groundwater storage change

$\Delta TWS = \Delta ISS + \Delta SWS + \Delta SMS + \Delta GWS$

 GRACE mass changes represent total terrestrial water storage changes (∆TWS) after removing atmospheric mass variations and ocean tides



Copyright 2016 California Institute of Technology. Government sponsorship acknowledged.

\triangle GWS = \triangle TWS - [\triangle ISS + \triangle SWS + \triangle SMS]

• How good is the estimation of \triangle GWS from GRACE measurements?



Monitoring groundwater storage changes in the highly seasonal humid tropics: Validation of GRACE measurements in the Bengal Basin

M. Shamsudduha 🔀, R. G. Taylor, L. Longuevergne

First published: 10 February 2012 | https://doi.org/10.1029/2011WR010993 | Citations: 131

Application of GRACE in hydrology: Bengal Basin

Dhaka

Himalayan Mountair

Area: ~200,000 km²



Bay of Bengal

Arab Sea

ndo-Burman Mountain

Bay of Benga

Subtropical monsoon climate - highly dynamic hydrological system

Why is monitoring of groundwater so important?





Bangladesh Population 160+ million

Groundwater-fed drinking water: 90% Groundwater-fed irrigation: 80%

Total Annual Groundwater Withdrawal: 32 km³

> Global GW use 600–800 km³ year⁻¹

> > Shamsudduha et al. (2019)

Bengal Basin of Bangladesh: a natural laboratory

Dense networks of surface water and groundwater monitoring stations





TITI

GRACE \triangle **GWS** = \triangle **TWS** - [\triangle **ISS** + \triangle **SWS** + \triangle **SMS**] Datasets used in the study of \triangle GWS in the Bengal Basin:

- AGWS & ASWS constrained by in-situ observations from 236 & 298 monitoring stations
- ∆SMS constrained by simulated soil moisture data from 3 LSMs: CLM, NOAH, VIC



Shamsudduha et al. (2012)

Background picture shows irrigation of Boro rice in Bangladesh

Groundwater storage change in the Bengal Basin

\triangle GWS = \triangle TWS - (\triangle SWS + \triangle SMS + \triangle ISS)

m



Validation of GRACE-derived \triangle GWS



Bengal Basin GWS anomaly



Estimating GWS changes in Nile Basin from GRACE



Î

Shamsudduha et al. (2017)



Seasonality in Lake Victoria Basin

IIII



- Computed contributions of ∆GWS to ∆TWS in the Upper Nile Basin are low (<10%)</p>
- ➤ GRACE-derived estimates of △GWS from all three products (GRCTellus, GRGS, and JPL-Mascons) correlate very weakly with in-situ △GWS in both the LVB and LKB

Shamsudduha et al. (2017)

GRACE-derived \triangle **GWS** in 37 Mega Aquifer Systems

m



GRACE-GWS in world's mega aquifer systems



GRACE-GWS in world's mega aquifer systems

Trends in GRACE-derived \triangle **GWS in 37 Mega aquifer systems**

TITI



Trends are predominantly non-linear

GRACE-GWS trends in the 37 mega aquifer systems



Shamsudduha and Taylor (2020)

Extreme precipitation helps replenish groundwater systems



GRACE-derived GWS trends (cm/year)

- GRACE-derived ∆GWS trends represent a reasonable picture of GWS dynamics across the globe – there are also areas of uncertainties
- GRACE-derived \(\triangle GWS\) time-series data show that trends are not predominantly linear – extreme precipitation interrupts declining trends – thus raising question of GW sustainability based on linear trends

Period: Aug 2002 to Jul 2016

TITI





Decomposition of GRACE TWS signal into GWS is challenging as 'reliable' data on individual components (i.e., soil moisture and surface water storage) are not available – left with no option but to use uncalibrated/untested global land surface (i.e., GLDAS LSMs) or hydrological models

GRACE TWS signal (i.e., spherical harmonics) is often smoothed due to spatial filtering but subsequently amplified using 'scaling factors' that are primarily derived from unconstrained global-scale land-surface or hydrological models

In many areas around the world, there remains no dedicated monitoring network of groundwater, soil moisture and surface water storage changes – making it challenging to validate GRACE-derived estimates of GWS

One of the biggest limitations is the footprint or spatial scale – GRACE satellite footprint is ~100,000 km² and, thus, the application of GRACE measurements in smaller aquifer/basin is highly uncertain

GRACE provides basin-scale information – not appropriate or useful for groundwater management which is often done at localised/catchment scale

GRACE footprint vs borehole-scale information/data

27°N

26°N

25°N

27°N **Borehole GWS GRACE GWS** Trends (mm/year) Trends (mm/year) < -50 -2 – -1 < -50 -2 - -1 50 - -10 -1 - 0 -50 - -10 -1 - 0 26°N 0-0.5 0-0.5 10 – -5 -10 – -5 0.5 – 1 Rangpu - -3 0.5 - 1-5 - -3 Dinajpur Dinaipu -3 - -2 > +1 -3 - -2 > +1 25°N Sylhet Mymensingh Mymensingh Raishal Raishal 24°N Dhaka Comilla Comilla



